### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

BODDIE II, Needham J., et al. MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC, P.A. P.O. Box 37428 Raleigh, NC 27627 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

### PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

16.06.2003

Applicant's or agent's file reference

9306.3.WO

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/US02/02043

International filing date (day/month/year) 22/01/2002

Priority date (day/month/year) 02/02/2001

DOCKETED

22/01/2002

Applicant

MERCURYMD, INC. et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

For the purpose of deciding whether the claimed invention is patentable or not, the elected Offices may apply criteria additional to or different from the criteria on which the international preliminary examination report is based (see Articles 27(5), 33(5)). Additional criteria may include e.g. exemptions from patentability and the requirements of enabling disclosure and of clarity and support of claims.

Authorized officer

Schall, H

Tel.+49 89 2399-2647

Name and mailing address of the IPEA/

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Form PCT/IPEA/416 (July 1992)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant'	s or ac	ent's file reference						
9306.3.	_		FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International ACTION Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No.			International filing date (day/mont	h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)			
			22/01/2002		02/02/2001			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G06F19/00								
Applicant	-							
MERCURYMD, INC. et al.								
	<ol> <li>This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>							
2. This	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
k (	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).  These annexes consist of a total of 3 sheets.							
<ul> <li>This report contains indications relating to the following items:</li> <li>Basis of the report</li> </ul>								
11		Priority						
111			inion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step a	and industrial applicability			
IV		Lack of unity of inventior						
V	×		der Article 35(2) with regard to as suporting such statement	novelty, inve	ntive step or industrial applicability;			
VI		Certain documents cited	t					
VII		Certain defects in the int	ernational application		*			
VIII		Certain observations on	the international application					
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of this report				
30/08/2002				16.06.2003				
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				ed officer	STATE OF STA			
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d					Krymaacows			

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 6041

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US02/02043

i.	Ba	Basis of the report							
1	the an	With regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): Description, pages:							
	1-2	27	as originally filed						
	Cla	Claims, No.:							
	1-1	6	as received on	15/05/2003	with letter of	15/05/2003			
	Drawings, sheets:								
	1-10		as originally filed						
2.			<b>juage</b> , all the elements mark international application was						
	The	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:							
		☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).							
		_							
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3).	translation furnished for the p	ourposes of interi	national preliminary	examination (under Rule			
3.		With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		☐ contained in the international application in written form.							
		filed together with	the international application i	n computer read	able form.				
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in writte	en form.					
		furnished subsequ	ently to this Authority in com	puter readable fo	rm.				
			the subsequently furnished oplication as filed has been for		e listing does not go	b beyond the disclosure in			
		The statement that listing has been fur	the information recorded in an inshed.	computer readab	le form is identical	to the written sequence			
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of	of:					
		the description,	pages:						

Nos.:

17-34

★ the claims,

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US02/02043

		the drawings,	sheets:				
5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):					
		(Any replacement sh report.)	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this				
6.	Add	itional observations, it	f necessary:				

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)
Yes: C

Claims 1-16 Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-16

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims 1-16

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

**EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET** 

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- a. Reference is made to the following documents which were cited in the international search report: D3: US-A-5 924 074
- b. The following terms used in claims 1 and 9 are vague and unclear and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).
  - (i) "capturing real-time outbound HL7 data streams from a plurality of data processing systems"
  - (ii) "into a common format".

interface requires a pointing device.

- c. Notwithstanding the above clarity issues, the system of amended claims 1 and 9 is assumed to be directed to a system that retrieves HL7-encoded data from a plurality of data sources, reformats the data and stores it, and presents it to a user via a mobile terminal featuring a touchscreen and large GUI controls.
- d. Document D3, which is now considered to be the closest prior art, discloses a system for storing and presenting patient data (see Col 2, lines 28-50) whereby the data is retrieved from external data sources (see Col 9, lines 9-14) and then converted and stored in a patient record database from which it can be retrieved by a user (see Col 10, lines 21-50). Additionally, D3 discloses that a mobile terminal is used to view the converted data (see Fig. 24) and that the mobile terminal is operated by a pointing device (see Col 5, lines 60-65 and Col 6, lines 40-54) to view the various categories of information stored for a patient.
- e. In D3, the features of retrieving HL7 data and operating the mobile terminal by using large UI controls are not present. Therefore, D3 has the problem that it does not retrieve data in a standardised way and that the user
- The problem of the closest prior art, as represented by D3, is solved by the system of claim 1 in that claim 1 comprises means to retrieve HL7-encoded data from a plurality of external sources and means for the provision of a touch screen with large UI controls such that a user can operate the user
- However, the solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:
  - D3 discloses that it uses data handlers to retrieve patient data from the external data sources, and that it converts the data for storage in its own patient database. As HL7 simply defines a standard for exchange of data, it would be a simplification of the system of D3 if external data sources were HL7 compliant, and therefore this feature is merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.

interface using a finger.

- **EXAMINATION REPORT SEPARATE SHEET** 
  - D3 discloses that it provides a touch screen (see Col 14, lines 62-64). The provision of large UI controls that can be selected by a finger instead of a pen is also simply one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.
- h. Dependent claims 2-8 and 10-16 do not appear to contain any additional features, which in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, can be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

D3 discloses features that are equal or equivalent to the features of claims 3,4,5,6,7,11,12,13,14 and 15. In particular:

- (i) For claims 3 and 11, refer to D3 (see Figure 24).
- (ii) For claims 4,5,12 and 13, refer to D3 (see Col 5, lines 60-65).
- (iii) For claims 6 and 14, refer to D3 (see Col 5, line 56 to Col 6, line 6).
- (iv) For claims 7 and 15, refer to D3 (see Col 5, lines 21-24).

Claims 2,8,10 and 16 are considered to be trivial additions and therefore are not considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT).

i. The subject matter of claims 1 and 9 is considered to fulfill the requirements of industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT).